Hindi हिन्दी

Portuguese Português do Brasil



DATA PRIVACY

Jehovah's Witness note-taking challenged at EU's top court

Notes on door-to-door visits made by members of Jehovah's Witnesses breach EU data privacy law, according to the advocate general of the EU's top court. His finding backs the view of Finland's data protection commission.



The Luxembourg-based Advocate General Paolo Mengozzi on Thursday rejected a lawsuit filed by the Jehovah's Witness movement that asserts its members' notes are gathered only individually and do not breach the **Z** EU's privacy directive.

Instead, the report by the advocate general, whose findings often carry weight in the European Court of Justice, concluded that the Jehovah's Witnesses (JW) are centrally organized and the people visited by the group must give permission for note-taking.

As evidence, Mengozzi said that prior to the legal dispute the movement had provided printed forms for note-taking to its members.

Lawsuit origin in Finland

JW brought the case after a Finnish data protection commission ruled that the religious group could only record and process information on people its members spoke to within the confines of EU and Finnish privacy laws.

Finnish authorities found that JW members took notes on family members and the religious orientations of those visited without the individuals' permission for use in later visits.

Based in the US state of New York, the movement formed in the 19th century and has more than 8 million members worldwide. It preaches door-to-door, seeking to convert "outsiders" to its literal view of the Bible and belief that the end of the world is near.

Followers object to military service. During World War Two, members were dwidely persecuted.

Privacy cases frequent

Advocate general reports typically provide the basis for rulings by the Luxembourg court. Its verdict on the Finnish case is likely in several months.

The court has made a string of rulings on privacy issues, including its dismissal last week of a bid by an Austrian activist to bring a class action against Facebook.

In a related 2015 ruling, the court forced the EU and the United States to replace their "Safe Harbor" data sharing arrangement with a new system supposed to better safeguard personal data that firms in the US hold about Europeans.

ipj/sms (AFP, dpa, epd, KNA)

DW RECOMMENDS

» EU top court dismisses class action suit against Facebook

The European Court of Justice (ECJ) has ruled an Austrian privacy activist can not bring a class action lawsuit against Facebook. Max Schrems had been seeking damages for himself and 25,000 others for privacy violations. (25.01.2018)

» The impact of the "safe harbor" verdict

The recent "Safe Harbor" verdict poses new challenges to online companies. In the ruling, the European Court of Justice declared that a data-sharing deal between the EU and the US is invalid. (27.10.2015)

WWW LINKS

JW: imprisoned at Mauthausen

JW description of events at concentration camp

☑ EU data protection directive 95/46/EC

Currently applicable EU data privacy directive

Date 01.02.2018

Keywords privacy directive, data protection, Jehovas Witnesses, European Court of Justice, Advocate General, Finnland, notes, door-to-door, evangelizing

Share

☑ Send f Facebook

☑ Twitter g+ Google+

✓ More

Feedback: Send us your feedback.

Print A Print this page

Permalink http://p.dw.com/p/2rwJK

MORE CONTENT



German court bans mosque from call to prayer in Oer-Erkensnchwick 02.02.2018

A Christian couple in a western German town has successfully halted a local mosque's weekly call to prayer. The court ruling focused on the application process rather than religious freedoms.



Pope close to breaking deadlock in spat with China, report 02.02.2018

Pope Francis has decided to accept the legitimacy of seven Catholic bishops appointed by the Chinese government. He hopes in return that Beijing will recognize his authority as head of the Catholic Church in China.



US President Donald Trump declassifies controversial FBI memo

02.02.2018

The US Congress has released a memo claiming anti-Trump bias at the FBI during a probe into election meddling. The FBI and Democratic lawmakers had warned the president against declassifying the Republican-authored memo.